

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/633,905	08/04/2003	Neil J. Goldfine	1884.1020-009	7549
21005	7590 05/31/2005	s	EXAM	INER
HAMILTO:	N, BROOK, SMITH	PATIDAR	PATIDAR, JAY M	
P.O. BOX 9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CONCORD,	MA 01742-9133	2862		

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/633,905	GOLDFINE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jay M. Patidar	2862			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 March 2005</u> .				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. T	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15,18-22 and 34-36 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-22 is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15 and 34-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.	•			
Application Papers					
9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) accepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/633,905 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

1. This communication is in response to applicant's response received on March 14, 2005.

- 2. Applicant's election of Invention I in the reply filed on Mach 14, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the subject matter as set forth in claims 15,34-36 as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief

Art Unit: 2862

description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

- 4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The subject matter as set froth in claims 15,34-36 can not be found in the specification!.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 15,34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains

Art Unit: 2862

subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The subject matter as set forth in claims 15,34-36 is not properly described in the application as filed. The details of the perforations in the sensor is not described in the specification; it is unclear as to how an electromagnetic sensor is formed with perforations; how the perforations in the sensor allows corrosion to occur at the test material surface (claims 15,34-36).

Page 4

6. Claims 15,34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 15,34, it is unclear as to what the driving segments are; how the perforations in the sensor allow corrosion to occur at the test material surface; "a sensor response" should be ---the sensor response---.

The claims not specifically addressed share the indefiniteness as they depend from rejected base claims.

Art Unit: 2862

7. A prior art rejection has not been applied because the specification fails to provide enabling disclosure and the resulting claims do not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired and are vague and indefinite (35 U.S.C. 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs). The claims are so vague and indefinite such that no meaningful search can be performed at this time. However, any subsequently presented claims, in definite form will be subject to rejection on art.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 571-272-2265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2862

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jay M. Patidar Primary Examiner Art Unit 2862

Email: Jay.Patidar@USPTO.gov

May 26, 2005